

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

	States Patent and Trademark Office	
Address:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS	
	P.O. Box 1450	
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
	www.uspto.gov	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,089	09/09/2003	Mark A. Reiley	29914-701.409	1396
21971	7590 05/12/2006	EXAMINER		
WILSON S 650 PAGE M	ONSINI GOODRICH	ISABELLA, DAVID J		
	D, CA 94304-1050	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3738	
			DATE MAILED: 05/12/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			SP
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/-V
	10/658,089	REILEY, MARK A	v
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	:
	DAVID J. ISABELLA	3738	i i
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence ad	dress
Period for Reply			•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep	ATION. ply be timely filed	
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	atute, cause the application to become ABA	NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ommunication.
Status		·	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	9 September 2003.		•
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
•	ion		•
4) Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	grawn from consideration.		:
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			i
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			•
8) Claim(s) 1-53 are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		ı
Application Papers			•
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		–	
,	accepted or b) objected to b	•	ı
Applicant may not request that any objection to t			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cord	•		
Tr) The bath of declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of Total 1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	· !
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		plication No	•
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have been r	eceived in this National	Stage
application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		:
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not r	eceived.	; ;
			1
Attachment(s)			•
1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview Su	ummary (PTO-413)	•
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date	, 150)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	(08) 5) Notice of Int	formal Patent Application (PTC 	J-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/658,089

Art Unit: 3738

Status of Continuity Application

This application is a divisional of copending United States Patent Application Serial No. 10/615,727, filed July 9, 2003, which is a divisional of United States Patent Application Serial No. 09/693,272 (now United States Patent No. 6,610,091), which claims the benefit of United States Provisional Patent Application Serial No. 60/160,891, filed October 22, 1999, which are incorporated herein by reference. However, it does not appear that this application fits the definition of a divisional application. Applicant should review the instant application and correct the continuity status. The definition of a divisional application is provided below for the applicant's review.

A later application for a distinct or independent invention, carved out of a pending application and disclosing and claiming only subject matter disclosed in an earlier or parent application is known as a divisional application or "division." The divisional application should set forth the portion of the earlier disclosure that is germane to the invention as claimed in the divisional application.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- 1. Claims 1-49, drawn to prosthesis, classified in class 623, subclass 18.11.
- II. Claims 50-53, drawn to surgical method, classified in class 128, subclass 898.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of group I and group II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different

Application/Control Number: 10/658,089

Art Unit: 3738

process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product may be used as a finger joint replacement.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: figure 2; figure 2A; figure 2B; figure 2C; figure 11; figure 20; figure 21; figure 23; figure 24; figure 25; figure 29; figure 32; figure 33; figure 34; figure 36; figure 39; figure 41 and figure 42. The species are independent or distinct because each figure illustrate different embodiments for the facet joint.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 10/658,089

Art Unit: 3738

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

A telephone call was made to Ms. Regan on 4/17/2006 to inform Ms. Regan of the restriction requirement and due to complex restriction the restriction would be mailed to applicant's representative.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID J. ISABELLA whose telephone number is 571-272-4749. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY.

Art Unit: 3738

. 2720

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CORRINE MCDERMOTT can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAVIDU ISABELLA Primary Examiner Art Unit 3738

DJI

5/5/2006